Instrument	Total items, n	Author, Year - Journal	Notes / Comments about Scale Development	Domains / Factors	Subscale Items, n	Cronbach alpha
Medical students						
Educational Climate Inventory (ECI)	20	Krupat, 2017 – Academic	Learning vs. Mastery-Oriented Climate	Centrality of learning and mutual respect	10	0.88
,		Medicine		Competitiveness and stress	6	0.80
				Passive learning and memorization	4	0.71
C-CHANGE	46	Pololi, 2017 –	Adapted from C-Change	Vitality	5	0.79
Medical Student		Academic	Faculty Survey (CFS), which	Self-efficacy in career advancement	4	0.74
Survey (CMSS)		Psychiatry	was based on extensive	Institutional support	5	0.82
			qualitative interviews with	Relationships/inclusion/trust	6	0.85
			faculty about the culture of	Values alignment	8	0.80
			academic medicine	Ethical/moral distress	8	0.76
				Work-life integration	3	0.77
				Gender equity	3	0.79
				Underrepresented in medicine minority equity	4	0.73
Johns Hopkins	28	Shochet, 2015	Emphasizes those aspects of	Community of peers	6	0.91
Learning		– Academic	the learning environment that	Faculty relationships	6	0.80
Environment Scale		Medicine	have the biggest impact on	Academic climate	5	0.86
(JHLES)			students' professional	Meaningful engagement	4	0.82
			development (based on an	Mentoring	2	0.74
			earlier study by the same	Inclusion and safety	3	0.58
			group); informed by social and	Physical space	2	0.66
			experiential learning theories	Atmosphere	5	0.75
				Organization	5	0.67
Medical Student	28	Liao, 2014 –	Includes previously published	Safety culture	8	0.89
Safety Attitudes and		Academic	survey items, including the	Teamwork culture	6	0.81
Professionalism		Medicine	Safety Attitudes Questionnaire,	Error disclosure culture	4	0.75
Survey (MSSAPS)			AHRQ Safety Culture survey,	Experiences with professionalism	7	0.87
			others	Comfort expressing professional concerns	3	0.83

Learning Environment Assessment Tools (Selected Examples) – compiled by Andrea Leep, MD, MHPE (<u>leep.andrea@mayo.edu</u>)

Undergraduate Clinical Education	25	Strand, 2013 – Medical	Includes modified items from existing tools (DREEM,	Opportunities for learning in and through work	11	0.91
Environment		Teacher	PHEEM, Learning Climate	Preparedness for study entry	6	0.87
Measure (UCEEM)			Questionnaire, Maastricht Clinical Teaching	Workplace interaction patterns and student inclusion	6	0.79
			Questionnaire)	Equal treatment	2	0.84
Climate of	46	Quaintance,	Items based on focus groups	Professional behaviors of students	12	0.82
Professionalism		2008 -	with students, role-modeling	Professional behaviors of residents	12	0.75
		Academic	literature, ABIM definition of	Professional behaviors of faculty	12	0.79
		Medicine	professionalism	Professionalism teaching	10	0.91
Medical Student	17	Rosenbaum,	The original MSLES was	Breadth of interest	2	0.62
Learning		2007 -	based in part on the Learning	Student-student interactions	3	0.73
Environment Survey (MSLES) – Short		Academic Medicine	Environment Questionnaire (LEQ) by Rothman, 1970 (a	Student-student interactions – negatively worded items	3	0.52
Form**			cognitive-affective climate	Supportiveness	4	0.66
*Also used in 28		Moore-West,	instrument) with additional	Meaningful learning experiences	1	N/A
school study by		1986 – Res	scales added based on	Organization	3	0.80
Skochelak, 2016		Med Educ	discussions with medical students about their concerns	Vertical integration – new item	1	N/A
MSLES – even	11	Used on	*Cronbach alphas are based on	Emotional climate	3	0.90
shorter version		AAMC Y2Q	2017 AAMC Y2Q data	Student-student interaction	4	0.80
				Student-faculty interaction	4	0.80
Pololi, 2000	15	Pololi, 2000 –	Survey developed with input	Teacher-learner relationships	10	0.92-0.93
*Strongest validity		Teach Learn	from nationally-recognized	Physician-patient relationships	3	0.81-0.94
evidence in Colbert- Getz 2014 review		Med	medical educators; exploratory factor analysis	Self-efficacy	2	0.83-0.92
Dundee Ready	50	Roff, 1997 –	Literature review, Delphi	Teaching / learning	12	0.78
Education		Medical	method among 80+ experts;	Teachers / course organizers	11	0.69
Environment		Teacher	Cronbach alphas from one	Academic self-perceptions	8	0.74
Measure (DREEM)			subsequent psychometric study	Atmosphere	12	0.56
			by Hammond, 2012	Social self-perceptions	7	0.55
Residents						
C-CHANGE	68	Pololi, 2017 –	Adapted from C-CHANGE	Vitality	4	0.84
Resident Survey		Journal of	faculty survey, which was	Self-efficacy in career advancement	4	0.78
(CRS)		General	developed based on interviews	Institutional support	4	0.85
		Internal	with faculty and an extensive	Relationships/inclusion/trust	5	0.83

		Medicine	literature search + pilot testing	Values alignment	6	0.84
			with residents, includes an	Ethical/moral distress	8	0.81
			item for each of the 6 ACGME	Respect	6	0.82
			core competencies	Mentoring	6	0.89
				Leadership aspirations	5	0.81
				Work-life integration	3	0.79
				Gender equity	6	0.86
				Underrepresented in med minority equity	5	0.83
				Competencies	6	0.85
Scan of	15	Schonrock-	Items from previous	Goal orientation (content)	5	0.71
Postgraduate		Adema, 2015	instruments (authors found all	Relationships (atmosphere)	5	0.75
Educational Domains (SPEED), abbreviated version		– PLOS ONE	items from 12 existing instruments could be mapped to Moos' 3 domains*), Delphi procedure, semi-structured interviews with residents	items from 12 existing instruments could be mapped to Moos' 3 domains*), Delphi procedure, semi-structured		0.67
Dutch Residency	50	Boor, 2011 –	Qualitative study, stakeholder	Supervision	3	0.64
Educational Climate		Medical	input, modified Delphi	Coaching and assessment	8	0.80
Test (D-RECT)		Teacher	procedure, exploratory and	Feedback	3	0.75
			confirmatory factor analysis,	Teamwork	4	0.69
			generalizability study	Peer collaboration	3	0.76
				Professional relations between attendings	3	0.77
				Work is adapted to residents' competence	4	0.66
				Attending's role (behaviors)	8	0.85
				Formal education	4	0.75
				Role of the specialty tutor	6	0.78
				Patient sign out	4	0.75
				Relationships (atmosphere)	5	0.75
				Organization/regulation (organization)	5	0.67
Postgraduate	40	Roff, 2005 &	Literature review, expert input,	Role autonomy	14	0.92
Hospital Educational		Clapham,	Delphi-type process, pilot	Teaching	15	
Environment Measure (PHEEM)		2007 – Med Teacher	testing	Social support	11	
VA Learner	57	Keitz, 2003 –	Literature review, focus	Learning environment***	14	
Perceptions Survey		Academic	groups, pilot testing with factor	Clinical faculty	10	
(VA LPS)		Medicine	analysis	Working environment	14	
				Physical environment	13	

ACGME Resident and Fellow Survey	29	Holt, 2010 – Academic	Based on the ACGME Common Program	Non-duty hours items (i.e., "educational environment")		19	0.80
		Medicine	Requirements	Duty hours items		10	0.79
ACGME Clinical Learning Environment			Some residency programs are using surveys / inventories related to these CLER focus	Patient safety	E.g., Hospital Survey Culture (HSOPSC) in JGME; Bump, 2017	n Bump, 2	015 –
Review (CLER) focus areas			areas as a way to assess the residency learning	Healthcare quality	E.g., Various quality measures		
		environment	Care transitions	E.g., "Handoffs and Transitions" subscale of the HSOPSC in Bump, 2015 and Bump 2017 above		and Bump,	
			Supervision	E.g., Resident Supervision Index in Byrne 2010 – Academic Medicine		ex in Byrne,	
			Well-being	E.g., Maslach Burnout Inventory; see Dyrbye & Shanafelt, 2016 – Medical Education (review)			
			Professionalism –	E.g., Gillespie, 2009 – JGME; Reynolds, 2019 – Curr Probl Pedatr Adolesc Health Care			
High-value care	24	Gupta, 2017 –	Literature review, conceptual	Leadership and heal	th system messaging	17	0.94
culture survey	BMJ Qual Safety	models for organizational culture, modified Delphi process, exploratory and confirmatory factor analyses	Data transparency and access		2	0.80	
			Comfort with cost conversations		3	0.70	
			Blame-free environ	nent	2	0.70	
Maastricht High-	25	Mordang –	Items derived from previously	High-value care		8	0.65
Conscious Care	under review	published surveys of practicing	Cost incorporation		10	0.71	
		physicians, focus groups, and	Potential drawbacks		7	0.67	
Attitude Questionnaire (MHAQ)	uestionnaire from expert panels.		Parallel questionnaire developed for residents, staff physicians, administrators, and patients; Cronbach alphas listed here are based on resident respondents.				

* Based on the work of Moos, RH (1973 and 1974) on conceptualization of human psychological environments and social climate scales

**Original MSLES (Marshal, 1978) had 55 items mapped to 7 domains

***Most strongly associated with overall training satisfaction in study by Cannon, 2008 (Academic Medicine), which also included medical students

Developing Medical Educators of the 21st Century Feb 10-12 2020

Assessment of Learning Environments in Medical Education: Instruments and Best Practices – Workshop Exercises

Exercise 1: Measuring quality outcomes

- What quality measures of the learning environment are already collected at your institution?
- What others could you add?

Pillar	Current outcome measures	Additional outcomes
1. Shared goal of healthcare and health professional education: improving health		
2. Learning is work and work is learning		
3. Collaboration with integration of diverse perspectives		
4. Focus on continuous improvement and innovation		



Assessment of Learning Environments in Medical Education: Instruments and Best Practices – Workshop Exercises

Exercise 2: Strategy for holistic learning environment assessment at your institution

Refer back to the outcomes identified in the first worksheet, and create a strategy to implement a holistic assessment based on those outcomes. Do this in 2 steps:

- 1. Using the table below, for each outcome measure consider what instrument/data collection approach you could use, who can collect the data, and who are the stakeholders? Refer to the learning environment instrument inventory for existing instruments but also consider what other data sources could you tap into.
- 2. Then, decide on how often you would collect and report data, to whom you would report the data, and how you envision this would translate into quality improvement for your institution's learning environment.

Outcome measure	How are data collected?	By whom?	Who are the stakeholders?

We will collect and report data (frequency)

The report will go to ______

As a result of the report we anticipate _____

